manifestations are potentially reversible; and (3) the best approaches to preventing complications of disease and its treatments. Therapies, doses, and adverse effects are listed in Table 378-5.

CONSERVATIVE THERAPIES FOR MANAGEMENT OF NON-LIFE-THREATENING DISEASE

Among patients with fatigue, pain, and autoantibodies indicative of SLE, but without major organ involvement, management can be directed to suppression of symptoms. Analgesics and antimalarials are mainstays. NSAIDs are useful analgesics/anti-inflammatories, particularly for arthritis/arthralgias. However, two major issues indicate caution in using NSAIDs. First, SLE patients compared with the general population are at increased risk for NSAID-induced aseptic meningitis, elevated serum transaminases, hypertension, and renal dysfunction. Second, all NSAIDs, particularly those that inhibit cyclooxygenase-2 specifically, may increase risk for myocardial infarction. Acetaminophen to control pain may be a good strategy, but NSAIDs are more effective in some patients. The relative hazards of NSAIDs compared with low-dose glucocorticoid therapy have not been established. Antimalarials (hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, and quinacrine) often reduce dermatitis, arthritis, and fatigue. A randomized, placebo-controlled, prospective trial has shown that withdrawal of hydroxychloroquine results in increased numbers of disease flares; hydroxychloroquine also reduces accrual of tissue damage, including renal damage, over time. Because of potential retinal toxicity, patients receiving antimalarials should undergo ophthalmologic examinations annually. A placebo-controlled prospective trial suggests that administration of dehydroepiandrosterone may reduce disease activity. If quality of life is inadequate despite these conservative measures, treatment with low doses of systemic glucocorticoids may be necessary. The clinician may also consider treatment with belimumab (anti-BLyS) in these patients, although published clinical trials enrolled patients who had failed to respond to conservative therapies. Lupus dermatitis should be managed with topical sunscreens, antimalarials, topical glucocorticoids, and/or tacrolimus, and if severe or unresponsive, systemic glucocorticoids with or without mycophenolate mofetil.

LIFE-THREATENING SLE: PROLIFERATIVE FORMS OF LUPUS NEPHRITIS

Guidelines for management of lupus nephritis have been published recently by the American College of Rheumatology and the European League Against Rheumatism (encompassed and referenced in Fig. 378-2 and Table 378-5). The mainstay of treatment for any inflammatory life-threatening or organ-threatening manifestations of SLE is systemic glucocorticoids (0.5–1 mg/kg per day PO or 500–1000 mg of methylprednisolone sodium succinate IV daily for 3 days followed by 0.5–1 mg/kg of daily prednisone or equivalent). Evidence that glucocorticoid therapy is life-saving comes from retrospective studies from the predialysis era; survival was significantly better in people with DPGN treated with high-dose daily glucocorticoids (40–60 mg of prednisone daily for 4–6 months) versus lower doses. Currently, high doses are recommended for much shorter periods; recent trials of interventions for severe SLE use 4–6 weeks of 0.5–1 mg/kg per day of prednisone or equivalent. Thereafter, doses are tapered as rapidly as the clinical situation permits, usually to a maintenance dose ranging from 5 to 10 mg of prednisone or equivalent per day. Most patients with an episode of severe SLE require many years of maintenance therapy with low-dose glucocorticoids, which can be increased to prevent or treat disease flares. Frequent attempts to gradually reduce the glucocorticoid requirement are recommended because virtually everyone develops important adverse effects (Table 378-5). High-quality clinical studies regarding initiating therapy for severe, active SLE with IV pulses of high-dose glucocorticoids are not available. Most recent clinical trials in lupus nephritis have initiated therapy with high-dose IV glucocorticoid pulses (500–1000 mg daily for 3–5 days). This approach must be tempered by safety considerations, such as the presence of conditions adversely affected by glucocorticoids (e.g., infection, hyperglycemia, hypertension, osteoporosis).

Cytotoxic/immunosuppressive agents added to glucocorticoids are recommended to treat serious SLE. Almost all prospective controlled trials in SLE involving cytotoxic agents have been conducted in combination with glucocorticoids in patients with lupus nephritis. Therefore, the following recommendations apply to treatment of nephritis. Either cyclophosphamide (an alkylating agent) or mycophenolate mofetil (a relatively lymphocyte-specific inhibitor of inosine monophosphatase and therefore of purine synthesis) is an acceptable choice for induction of improvement in severely ill patients; azathioprine (a purine analogue and cycle-specific antimetabolite) may be effective but is slower to influence response and associated with more flares. In patients whose renal biopsies show ISN grade III or IV disease, early treatment with combinations of glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide reduces progression to ESRD and death. Shorter-term studies with glucocorticoids plus mycophenolate mofetil (prospective randomized trials of 6 months, follow-up studies of 36 months) show that this regimen is similar to cyclophosphamide in achieving improvement. Comparisons are complicated by effects of race, since higher proportions of African Americans (and other non-Asian, nonwhite races) respond to mycophenolate than to cyclophosphamide, whereas similar proportions of whites and Asians respond to each drug. Regarding toxicity, diarrhea is more common with mycophenolate mofetil; amenorrhea, leukopenia, and nausea are more common with cyclophosphamide. Importantly, rates of severe infections and death are similar in meta-analyses. Two different regimens of IV cyclophosphamide are available. For white patients with northern European backgrounds, low doses of cyclophosphamide (500 mg every 2 weeks for six total doses, followed by azathioprine or mycophenolate maintenance) are as effective as standard high doses, with less toxicity. Ten-year follow-up has shown no differences between the high-dose and low-dose groups (death or ESRD in 9–20% of patients in each group). The majority of the European patients were white; it is not clear whether the data apply to U.S. populations. High-dose cyclophosphamide (500–1000 mg/m^2 body surface area given monthly IV for 6 months, followed by azathioprine or mycophenolate maintenance) is an acceptable approach for patients with severe nephritis (e.g., multiple cellular crescents and/or fibrinoid necrosis on renal biopsy, or rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis). Cyclophosphamide and mycophenolate responses begin 3–16 weeks after treatment is initiated, whereas glucocorticoid responses may begin within 24 h.

For maintenance therapy, mycophenolate and azathioprine probably are similar in efficacy and toxicity; both are safer than cyclophosphamide. In a recently published multicenter study, mycophenolate was superior to azathioprine in maintaining renal function and survival in patients who responded to induction therapy with either cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate. The incidence of ovarian failure, a common effect of high-dose cyclophosphamide therapy (but probably not of low-dose therapy), can be reduced by treatment with a gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (e.g., leuprolide 3.75 mg intramuscularly) prior to each monthly cyclophosphamide dose. Patients with high serum creatinine levels (e.g., ≥265 μmol/L [≥3.0 mg/dL]) many months in duration and high chronicity scores on renal biopsy are not likely to respond to any of these therapies. In general, it may be better to induce improvement in an African-American or Hispanic patient with proliferative glomerulonephritis with mycophenolate mofetil (2–3 g daily) rather than cyclophosphamide, with the option to switch if no evidence of response is detectable after 3–6 months of treatment. For whites and Asians, induction with either mycophenolate mofetil or cyclophosphamide is acceptable. Cyclophosphamide may be discontinued when it is clear that a patient is improving. The number of SLE flares is reduced by maintenance therapy with mycophenolate mofetil (1.5–2 g daily) or azathioprine (1–2.5 mg/kg per day). Both cyclophosphamide and mycophenolate mofetil are potentially teratogenic; patients should be off either medication for at least 3 months before attempting to conceive. Azathioprine can be used if necessary to control active SLE in patients who are pregnant. If azathioprine is used either for induction or maintenance therapy, patients may be prescreened for homozygous deficiency of the TMPT enzyme (which is required to metabolize the 6-mercaptopurine product of azathioprine) because they are at higher risk for bone marrow suppression.