



FIGURE 270e-19 Normal aortic valve in the parasternal long-axis view (A) and short-axis view (B), and bicuspid aortic valve showing typical 10 o'clock to 4 o'clock leaflet orientation (C).

valve, one of the most common congenital anomalies, predisposes to both aortic stenosis and aortic insufficiency.

As discussed above, the degree of aortic stenosis is assessed by estimating both the pressure gradient across the valve and the valve area. Patients with moderate aortic stenosis or higher generally have peak instantaneous velocities of 3.0 m/s and higher, and often higher than 4.0 m/s, corresponding to pressure gradients of 36 and 64 mmHg, respectively. Because pressure gradients across the aortic valve can be underestimated in patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction, estimation of valve area by the continuity principle is the most accurate technique for assessing the severity of the stenosis. However, evaluation of the patient with so-called low-flow or low-gradient aortic stenosis can be challenging and can sometimes require provocative testing such as dobutamine echocardiography. In these cases, it is important to distinguish whether the valve is indeed capable of opening further or simply behaving like a stenotic valve because of the low-pressure gradient.

Aortic valve areas less than 1.0 cm² are generally considered severe, and valve areas less than 0.6 cm² are considered critical. Because patients with good left ventricular function can often tolerate severe aortic stenosis for a considerable period of time, valve areas or gradients alone should not be used to determine whether an individual patient should undergo aortic valve surgery, as this remains a clinical decision.

Some patients with apparent aortic stenosis actually have subvalvular or even supra-ventricular obstruction. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy represents the classic form of subvalvular aortic stenosis, but this is usually easily distinguished from aortic stenosis on echocardiography as the valve leaflets can be seen opening during systole. Subaortic membranes can behave very similarly to leaflet aortic stenosis, and the membranes themselves can be very thin and difficult to visualize, although the presence of a murmur, a gradient across the valve with aortic leaflets that appear to open normally, is highly suggestive of a membrane. Supra-ventricular aortic stenosis, although exceedingly rare, also occurs.

The emergence of transcatheter aortic valve intervention as a therapeutic option for patients with severe aortic stenosis who are not optimal candidates for surgical replacement has resulted in a very important clinical role for multimodality imaging. Imaging plays a critical role in preprocedural planning, intra-procedural implantation optimization, and follow-up of these patients. CT plays an important role in defining the eligibility of the proposed access site (CTA of the aorta and iliac arteries) and in defining the anatomic relationships between the aortic valve and aortic root, left ventricle, and coronary ostia. Cardiac CT and transesophageal echocardiography are also used to define the device size. Transesophageal echocardiography is used during the device implantation to ensure the best prosthesis-patient match, to assess prosthesis position and function after deployment, and to identify immediate complications (e.g.,

aortic insufficiency, paravalvular leak resulting from patient-prosthesis mismatch). Echocardiography is the imaging modality of choice for long-term surveillance.

Assessment of Aortic Regurgitation Assessment of aortic regurgitation requires qualitative assessment of the aortic valve structure. Aortic regurgitation is common with congenital abnormalities of the aortic valve, the most common of which is bicuspid aortic valve. Aortic regurgitation often coexists with aortic stenosis, and it is not uncommon for patients to have both severe aortic stenosis and regurgitation. Congenital abnormalities of the aortic leaflets, such as bicuspid aortic valve, are common causes of aortic insufficiency. Dilatation of the aortic root, as occurs in patients with hypertension and other disorders in which aortic dilatation can occur, can also lead to aortic regurgitation even when the valve leaflets are intrinsically normal due to malcoaptation of the leaflets. Aortic root dilatation is common in patients with aortic regurgitation, both as a cause or coexisting lesion, and the aortic root and ascending aorta should be measured and followed in these patients (Fig. 270e-20).

Because aortic regurgitation can result in dilatation of the left ventricle over time with ultimate reduction in ventricular function, caring for the patient with aortic regurgitation requires serial assessment of ventricular size and function. Patients whose ventricles dilate beyond an end-systolic diameter of 5.5 cm or whose LVEF declines below normal are at significantly higher risk of death or heart failure, and these measures are often used to decide the need for valve surgery. Quantitation of regurgitation itself can be performed using a number of methods. Semiquantitative visual assessment of aortic regurgitant jet width and depth by color flow Doppler remains the most used. The jet diameter as a ratio of the left ventricular outflow tract diameter proximal to the valve represents one of the most reliable indices of severity and correlates well with angiographic assessment. Similarly, the vena contracta, which represents the smallest diameter of the regurgitant flow at the level of the valve, can be used to assess the severity of aortic regurgitation. Other Doppler-based methods include assessing the pressure half-time, or rate of decline of the pressure gradient between the aorta and left ventricle, a measure of acuity of aortic regurgitation, and assessing aortic flow reversal in the descending aorta. The regurgitant volume can be calculated by comparing the




FIGURE 270e-20 Aortic regurgitation visualized by color flow Doppler in the parasternal long-axis view (A) and the parasternal short-axis view (B).