in these patients, most studies favor continuous therapy with non-MP-containing regimens (e.g., lenalidomide plus dexamethasone) due to longer term safety profile and efficacy.

Improvement in the serum M component may lag behind the symptomatic improvement. The fall in M component depends on the rate of tumor kill and the fractional catabolic rate of immunoglobulin, which in turn depends on the serum concentration (for IgG). Light chain excretion, with a functional half-life of ~6 h, may fall within the first week of treatment. Because urine light chain levels may relate to renal tubular function, they are not a reliable measure of tumor cell kill, especially in patients with renal dysfunction; however, improvements in serum free light chain measurement are often seen sooner. Although patients may not achieve complete remission, clinical responses may last for long periods of time.

High-dose therapy and consolidation/maintenance are standard practice in the majority of eligible patients. Randomized studies comparing standard-dose therapy to high-dose melphalan therapy (HDT) with hematopoietic stem cell support have shown that HDT can achieve high overall response rates, with up to 25–40% additional complete responses and prolonged progression-free and overall survival; however, few, if any, patients are cured. Although two successive HDTs (tandem transplantations) are more effective than single HDT, the benefit is only observed in the subset of patients who do not achieve a complete or very good partial response to the first transplantation, which is rare. Moreover, a randomized study failed to show any significant difference in overall survival between early transplantation after induction therapy versus delayed transplantation at relapse. These data allow an option to delay transplantation, especially with the availability of more agents and combinations. Allogeneic transplantations may also produce high response rates, but treatment-related mortality may be as high as 40%. Nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation can reduce toxicity but is recommended only under the auspices of a clinical trial to exploit an immune graft-versus-myeloma effect while avoiding attendant toxicity.

Maintenance therapy prolongs remissions following standard-dose regimens as well as HDT. Two phase 3 studies have demonstrated improved progression-free survival, and one study showed prolonged overall survival in patients receiving lenalidomide compared to placebo as maintenance therapy after HDT. In non-transplant candidates, another phase 3 study showed prolonged progression-free survival with lenalidomide maintenance after MP plus lenalidomide induction therapy. Although there is concern regarding an increased incidence of second primary malignancies in patients receiving lenalidomide maintenance, its benefits far outweigh the risk of progressive disease and death from myeloma. In patients with high-risk cytogenetics, lenalidomide and bortezomib have been combined and show promise as maintenance therapy after transplantation.

Relapsed myeloma can be treated with a number of agents including lenalidomide and/or bortezomib. These agents in combination with dexamethasone can achieve a partial response rate of up to 60% and a 10–15% complete response rate in patients with relapsed disease. The combination of bortezomib and liposomal doxorubicin is active in relapsed myeloma. Thalidomide, if not used as initial therapy, can achieve responses in refractory cases. The second-generation proteasome inhibitor carfilzomib and immunomodulatory agent pomalidomide have shown efficacy in relapsed and refractory MM, even MM refractory to lenalidomide and bortezomib. High-dose melphalan and stem cell transplantation, if not used earlier, also have activity as salvage therapy in patients with refractory disease.

The median overall survival of patients with myeloma is 7–8+ years, with subsets of younger patients surviving more than 10 years. The major causes of death are progressive myeloma, renal failure, sepsis, or therapy-related myelodysplasia. Nearly a quarter of patients die of myocardial infarction, chronic lung disease, diabetes, or stroke—all intercurrent illnesses related more to the age of the patient group than to the tumor.

Supportive care directed at the anticipated complications of the disease may be as important as primary antitumor therapy. Hypercalcemia generally responds well to bisphosphonates, glucocorticoid therapy, hydration, and natriuresis, and rarely requires calcitonin as well. Bisphosphonates (e.g., pamidronate 90 mg or zoledronate 4 mg once a month) reduce osteoclastic bone resorption and preserve performance status and quality of life, decrease bone-related complications, and may also have antitumor effects. Osteonecrosis of the jaw and renal dysfunction can occur in a minority of patients receiving aminobisphosphonate therapy. Treatments aimed at strengthening the skeleton such as fluorides, calcium, and vitamin D, with or without androgens, have been suggested, but are not of proven efficacy. Kyphoplasty or vertebroplasty should be considered in patients with painful collapsed vertebra. Iatrogenic worsening of renal function may be prevented by maintaining a high fluid intake to prevent dehydration and enhance excretion of light chains and calcium. In the event of acute renal failure, plasmapheresis is ~10 times more effective at clearing light chains than peritoneal dialysis; however, its role in reversing renal failure remains controversial. Importantly, reducing the protein load by effective antitumor therapy with agents such as bortezomib may result in improvement in renal function in over half of the patients. Use of lenalidomide in renal failure is possible but requires dose modification, because it is renally excreted. Urinary tract infections should be watched for and treated early. Plasmapheresis may be the treatment of choice for hyperviscosity syndromes. Although the pneumococcus is a dreaded pathogen in myeloma patients, pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines may not elicit an antibody response. Prophylactic administration of intravenous γ globulin preparations is used in the setting of recurrent serious infections. Chronic oral antibiotic prophylaxis is not warranted. Patients developing neurologic symptoms in the lower extremities, severe localized back pain, or problems with bowel and bladder control may need emergency MRI and local radiation therapy and glucocorticoids if cord compression is identified. In patients in whom neurologic deficit is increasing or substantial, emergent surgical decompression may be necessary. Most bone lesions respond to analgesics and systemic therapy, but certain painful lesions may respond most promptly to localized radiation. The anemia associated with myeloma may respond to erythropoietin along with hematinics (iron, folate, cobalamin). The pathogenesis of the anemia should be established and specific therapy instituted, whenever possible.

WALDENSTRÖM'S MACROGLOBULINEMIA

In 1948, Waldenström described a malignancy of lymphoplasmacytoid cells that secreted IgM. In contrast to myeloma, the disease was associated with lymphadenopathy and hepatosplenomegaly, but the major clinical manifestation was hyperviscosity syndrome. The disease resembles the related diseases chronic lymphocytic leukemia, myeloma, and lymphocytic lymphoma. It originates from a post–germinal center B cell that has undergone somatic mutations and antigenic selection in the lymphoid follicle and has the characteristics of an IgM-bearing memory B cell. Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) and IgM myeloma follow a similar clinical course, but therapeutic options are different. The diagnosis of IgM myeloma is usually reserved for patients with lytic bone lesions and predominant infiltration with CD138+ plasma cells in the bone marrow. Such patients are at greater risk of pathologic fractures than patients with WM.

A familial occurrence is common in WM, but its molecular bases are yet unclear. A distinct MYD88 L265P somatic mutation has been reported in over 90% of patients with WM and the majority of IgM MGUS. Presence of this mutation is now used as a diagnostic test to discriminate WM from marginal zone lymphomas (MZLs), IgM-secreting myeloma, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) with plasmacytic differentiation. This mutation also explains the molecular pathogenesis of the disease, with involvement of Toll-like receptor (TLR) and interleukin 1 receptor (IL-1R) signaling leading to